

Document 7 Statistics:

Recently Judith Cannan (LC) led a study of how PCC statistics are processed at LC. Several areas of improved processing were identified. One area focused on the BIBCO/CONSER reporting pages at <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/pcc/stats/pccstats.html> and the PCC statistics page at: <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/pcc/stats/>.

We are looking at different ideas for gathering, processing and displaying this data on the PCC web pages. We'd like to get your input on how much you use the data and categories that are displayed on the BIBCO/CONSER reporting pages at <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/pcc/stats/pccstats.html>

The existing report form asks CONSER members to report the following categories of statistics:

Authentication of:

- 1) Full/Core Original
- 2) MLC Original
- 3) Full/Core Existing
- 4) MLC Existing
- 5) Full/Core Subsequent
- 6) MLC Subsequent
- 7) CONSER Maintenance
- 8) Non-CONSER Maintenance

The totals for all categories are posted at the site that shows only CONSER and BIBCO activity: <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/pcc/stats/pccstats.html>

For the site that displays all PCC activity <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/pcc/stats/>, categories 1-4 are combined, 5 and 6 are not counted, and categories 7 and 8 are combined for maintenance. The display therefore shows only the categories "Authentications" (includes original cataloging and authentication of existing non-CONSER records) and "Maintenance" (maintenance to CONSER records and non-CONSER records done with a CONSER authorization are combined.)

Question: What would be the impact on you if we **only** displayed combined authentication and maintenance statistics as described in the above paragraph, rather than the more detailed breakdown shown at: <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/pcc/stats/pccstats.html> ?